## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 USBERL 00780 230917Z

42.

**ACTION EUR-12** 

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-03 H-02 INR-07 L-02

NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06

ACDA-05 TRSE-00 SAJ-01 OMB-01 EB-07 IO-10 /081 W ------ 003483

R 220935Z APR 75

FM USMISSION USBERLIN

TO AMEMBASSY BONN

INFO SECSTATE WASHDC 801

AMEMBASSY BERLIN UNN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY PARIS

USMISSION NATO

**USEC BRUSSELS 577** 

CONFIDENTIAL USBERLIN 780

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, PGOV, WB, GW, EC

SUBJECT: POSSIBLE ALLIED-SOVIET CONSULTATIONS ON

BERLIN

REFS: A) STATE 80715 (NOTAL); B) PARIS 9258 (NOTAL)

1. WE SHALL MAKE CLEAR TO OUR ALLIED AND SOVIET INTERLOCUTORS THAT WE REGARD QUADRIPARTITE CONSULTATIONS AS UNDESIRABLE MEANS OF RESOLVING DIFFERING QA INTERPRETATIONS. MISSION SHARES DEPT VIEW THAT OUR CHANCES OF GOING ANYWHERE BUT BACKWARDS IN SUCH CONSULTATIONS ARE SLIM. HOWEVER, WE ALSO FEEL WE MUST EXERCISE SOME CAUTION NOT TO SUGGEST WE REGARD SUCH CONSULTATIONS AS IMMINENT. AND THEREFORE PLAN TO WITHHOLD OUR COMMENTS TO TIMES WHEN THE SUBJECT IS RAISED BY OTHERS.

2. WE DO NOT AGREE HOWEVER, WITH FRENCH REASONS REPORTED REF B FOR CONCLUDING THAT CONSULATIONS ARE INAPPROPRIATE, NAMELY THAT CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USBERL 00780 230917Z

OBJECTIVE OBSERVER MIGHT CONCLUDE EXTENSION OF NPT TO BERLIN,

BRUECKMANN CASE AND ESTABLISHMENT OF FEDERAL ENVIRONMENTAL AGENCY (FEA) IN BERLIN SUPPORT SOVIET COMPLAINTS. THESE THREE MATTERS, TOUCHING AS THEY DO IN TURN UPON THOSE ELEMENTS OF FRG-BERLIN TIES REFLECTED BY REPRESENTATION OF BERLIN'S INTERESTS ABROAD, ADOPTION OF IDENTICAL LEGISLATION AND COMMON COURT SYSTEM, AND FEDERAL PRESENCE ARE OF A PIECE WITH ACTIONS UNDERTAKEN BY FRG AND BERLIN FOR MANY YEARS PRIOR TO QA. FIRST TWO AT LEAST WERE NATURAL CONSEQUENCES OF SITUATIONS WHICH WERE NOT SOUGHT BY GERMAN SIDE. GERMAN REACTION WAS CONSISTENT BOTH WITH ALLIED INTERPRETATION OF OA AND STATUS OUO SUCH AS THAT TERM MIGHT BE DEFINED BY OBSERVER WHO WAS AWARE OF CLOSE AND MULTIPLE TIES WHICH EXISTED BETWEEN FRG AND BERLIN IN 1971 AND WHOSE CONTINUED DEVELOPMENT WAS CONFIRMED BY FOUR POWERS. WE DO NOT BELIEVE ALLIES SHOULD BE OVERLY DEFENSIVE ABOUT THESE MATTERS. MOREOVER, WE ARE SOMEWHAT CONCERNED THAT FRENCH MAY BELIEVEWHAT IS NEEDED TO ENSURE SMOOTHER WORKING OF QA IS TIGHTER FORMAL ALLIED CONTROL MECHANISMS IN BERLIN. MECHANICAL CONTROLS, WHILE UNSETTLING TO GERMANS, OVERLOOK MORE IMPORTANT REQUIREMENT. WHICH IS NEED FOR FRANKER, FULLER, MORE TIMELY ALLIED-GERMAN CONSULTATIONS ON POLITICALLY SENSITIVE MATTERS AND EXCHANGE OF VIEWS ON DIRECTIONS EACH SEES BERLIN POLICY TAKING. FEA IS GOOD CASE IN POINT. THERE EXISTED MECHANISM WHICH WAS USED FOR REVIEW OF LEGISLATION TO BE TAKEN OVER IN BERLIN TO ESTABLISH OFFICE. WHAT WAS DEFICIENT AND WHAT LED TO DIFFICULTY WAS UNILATERAL INITIATION OF SIGNIFICANT BERLIN STEP BY FRG BEFORE ALLIES COULD EXAMINE LARGER POLITICAL CONSIDERATIONS.

3. POSSIBLE FRENCH PREOCCUPATION WITH LEGAL MECHANICS
AS OPPOSED TO MEANINGFUL PRIOR POLITICAL CONSULTATION IS ALSO
SUGGESTED IN VIEWS REPORTED ON EC, REF B, AND FRENCH PERFORMANCE
IN DEVELOPING BK/O EXPRESSING ALLIED APPROVAL FOR LOCATION
OF EC VOCATIONAL CENTER IN BERLIN. EC DECISIONS HAVE
NEVER BEEN "AUTOMATICALLY APPLICABLE" IN BERLIN. PURSUANT
TO PROCEDURES ESTABLISHED IN 1957, WHEN ALLIED AUTHORIZED
EXTENSION OF TREATY OF ROME TO BERLIN, DECISIONS OF EC
COUNCIL DO NOT ENTER INTO FORCE IN BERLIN UNTIL PUBLISHED
CONFIDENTIAL

## CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 USBERL 00780 230917Z

IN BERLIN GAZAETTE AND MUST BE SUBMITTED TO ALLIED KOMMANDATURA PRIOR TO PUBLICATION. WE ARE NOW ENGAGED IN KOMMANDATURA REVIEW OF EC PROCEDURES WITH OBJECTIVE OF MODERNIZING THEM AS NECESSARY. WE ARE WORKING, HOWEVER, LESS TOWARD TINKERING WITH LEGAL CONTROLS THAN TOWARD REITERATION OF NEED FOR TIMELY CONSULTATION WITH ALLIES ON ALL EC ACTIONS UNDER CONSIDERATION WHICH MIGHT BE POLITICALLY SENSITIVE. IN THIS CONNECTION, OUR REQUIRE-

MENTS IN BRUSSELS ARE ALREADY VERY MUCH LIKE THOSE IN BONN. SINCE TWO OF THREE ALLIES ARE REPRESENTED IN EC, WE SHOULD BE IN A GOOD POSITION TO KEEP ABREAST OF WHAT WE NEED TO KNOW TO SAFEGUARD BERLIN STATUS. IN DOING SO, WE NEED NOT ACCEPT ASSUMPTION FROM WHICH SOVIETS APPARENTLY START THAT, BECAUSE EC HAS LONG-RANGE OBJECTIVE OF POLITICAL INTEGRATION, IT IS AUTOMATICALLY A SUSPECT ORGANIZATION IN BERLIN.GEORGE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: ALLIANCE, BERLIN QUADRIPARTITE MATTERS, MEETINGS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 22 APR 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: MartinML
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975 USBERI 00780

Document Number: 1975USBERL00780
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

Film Number: D750142-0429 From: USBERLIN

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750426/aaaaaywr.tel Line Count: 123 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION EUR Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 75 STATE 80715, 75 (NOTA PARIS 9258
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: MartinML

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 16 APR 2003

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <16 APR 2003 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <17 SEP 2003 by MartinML>

**Review Markings:** 

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JÚL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: POSSIBLE ALLIED-SOVIET CONSULTATIONS ON BERLIN TAGS: PFOR, PGOV, WB, GE, US, UR, EEC

To: BONN

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006